



Can a Hierarchical Classification of Sentinel-2 Data Improve the Land Cover Mapping?

Adam Waśniewski, Michał Kałuski, Agata Hościło, Aneta Lewandowska, Milena Chmielewska

Institute of Geodesy and Cartography, Centre of Applied Geomatics

Contact: adam.wasniewski@igik.edu.pl

07.06.2021











Outline



- 1. InCoNaDa Project
- 2. Land cover classes and study area
- 3. Data processing
- 4. Classification scheme
- 5. Hierarchical classification, land cover map
- 6. Hierarchical and flat classification comparison
- 7. Accuracy assessment
- 8. Features importance
- 9. Summary
- 10. What's next?

InCoNaDa Project



The project InCoNaDa "Enhancing the user uptake of Land Cover / Land Use information derived from the integration of Copernicus services and national databases" is funded by the Norway Grants via the National Centre for Research and Development'.

The aims of the project:

- improve the user uptake of land cover and land use information derived from the integration of Copernicus Land Monitoring Services (CLMS) and national databases,
- to address the request for more detailed information on LCLU and its changes (in respect to spatial, temporal and thematic content),
- to assessment of usefulness of enhanced LCLU database and CLMS products for decision makers, reporting
 obligations in natural resources monitoring, urban and spatial planning, agricultural management and reporting
 greenhouse gases emissions and removals from LULUCF in Poland and Norway.

One of the objectives is:

To develop methodology for land cover classification using the advance non-parametric machine learning algorithms, based on a time series of Sentinel-2 imagery.

Project website: https://inconada.eu/



Land cover classes and study area

The subsequent land cover classes are mapped:

- sealed surfaces,
- woodland broadleaved,
- woodland coniferous,
- shrubs,
- permanent herbaceous (i.e. grassy areas), periodically herbaceous (i.e. arable land), wetlands and mosses, non-vegetated, water bodies,
- snow and ice (in Norway)

Study area Norway Poland, Łódź province Norway, Viken county



Norway grants



Data

• Time series of Sentinel-2, from early March to late September 2020

Resampling 20 m spatial resolution bands to 10 m

Layer stacking all selected Sentinel-2 images, in total 8 images

Reference samples

- Points were created randomly on reference data:
 - BDOT10k topographic database (scale 1:10 000),
 - Land cover classes from Agency for Restructuring and Modernisation of Agriculture (ARMA),
 - BDL Forest Data Bank.
- Analysis of spectral histograms
- Reference samples: 60% training and 40% testing samples

Random Forest (RF) classifications were carried out in the Python environment.

- RF parameters:
 - n_estimators = 100
 - criterion = 'entropy'
 - random_state = 42

Classification was iteratively repeated 100 times

Filtering the final map using Region Group and Nibble tools in ArcGIS Pro.



Classification scheme





INSTYTUT GEODEZJI I KARTOGRAFII

Hierarchical classification, land cover map







30 Km



INSTYTUT GEODEZJI I KARTOGRAFII







sealed surfaces
woodland broadleaved
woodland coniferous
shrubs
permanent herbaceous
periodically herbaceous
wetlands and mosses
non-vegetated
water bodies





RGB

Flat





sealed surfaces
woodland broadleaved
woodland coniferous
shrubs
permanent herbaceous
periodically herbaceous
wetlands and mosses
non-vegetated
water bodies





RGB







sealed surfaces
woodland broadleaved
woodland coniferous
shrubs
permanent herbaceous
periodically herbaceous
wetlands and mosses
non-vegetated
water bodies





















HCL

Flat











Flat



HCL



RGB





Accuracy assessment



Flat classification error matrix

	sealed	woodland	woodland		permanent	periodically	wetlands and	non-	water	
	surfaces	broadleaved	coniferous	shrubs	herbaceous	herbaceous	mosses	vegetated	bodies	UA (%)
sealed surfaces	281	3	6	6	2	73	0	1	0	75,5
woodland broadleaved	3	159	5	1	0	1	1	0	0	93,5
woodland coniferous	1	8	280	0	0	0	0	0	0	96,9
shrubs	7	12	3	60	22	83	3	0	0	31,6
permanent herbaceous	1	1	0	4	564	124	1	0	0	81,2
periodically herbaceous	21	3	4	6	89	3868	4	4	1	96,7
Wetlands and mosses	4	1	1	4	6	12	50	0	4	61,0
non-vegetated	3	0	0	1	1	46	0	34	2	39,1
water bodies	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	265	98,1
PA (%)	87,3	85,0	93,6	73,2	82,5	91,9	80,6	87,2	97,4	

Flat and hierarchical classification accuracy

		Hierarchical Classification					
		Water					
	Flat	bodies /	sealed surfaces /		Remaining		
	classification	non-water	non-sealed surfaces	Tree cover	area		
OA	89-90%	99%	96-97%	97%	93 – 95%		
Карра	0,80 -0,82	0,96 – 0,98	0,72 - 0,78	0,81 - 0,86	0,78 - 0,81		
F1	0,76 - 0,79	0,98 – 0,99	0,86 - 0,89	0,91 – 0,92	0,84 - 0,88		

tion accuracy	FLAT		HCL		
	UA (%)	PA (%)	UA (%)	PA (%)	
sealed surfaces	75,5	87,3	98,1	94,8	
woodland broadleaved	93,5	85,0	91,2	92,3	
woodland coniferous	96,9	93,6	97,6	95,9	
shrubs	31,6	73,2	73,2	87,7	
permanent herbaceous	81,2	82,5	79,5	84,7	
periodically herbaceous	96,7	91,9	97,4	96,1	
wetlands and mosses	61,0	80,6	73,2	87,0	
non-vegetated	39,1	87,2	77,0	90,5	
water bodies	98,1	97,4	98,1	95,7	



Norway grants

water bodies / non-water

- + band 8(NIR), band 8a (Narrow NIR), band 11 (SWIR)
- band 2 (blue), band 3 (green), band 4 (red)
- sealed surfaces / non-sealed surfaces
 - + band 2 (blue), band 4 (red), band 12 (SWIR)
 - band 3 (green), band 5 (Vegetation red edge), band 6 (Vegetation red edge)
- tree cover
 - + band 3 (green), band 5 (Vegetation red edge), band 4 (red)
 - band 8a (Narrow NIR), band 12 (SWIR), band 11 (SWIR)
- remaining area
 - + band 11 (SWIR), band 8 (NIR), band 1 (blue)
 - band 3 (green), band 5 (Vegetation red edge), band 4 (red)





Hierarchical classification gives better visual results and higher accuracy than flat classification for the most problematic classes:

- the largest increase of UA were noticed for shrubs and non-vegetated classes, around 40 percentage points,
- UA and PA for wetlands and mosses increase from 61% and 80% to 73% and 87% respectively.

In hierarchical classification, over the densly built-up areas the building's shadows are misclassified as wetlands and mosses

In flat classification, the sealed surfaces were generally underestimated, the overestimated were visible in forest shadows and along the rivers.

Hierarchical classification is more time consuming and more laborious.

Obtaining satisfying results requires repeating the processes and experimenting with parameters.





- Independent verification of final land cover maps using Random Sampling method
- > Classification the other granules which cover Łódz province
- > Testing other mashine learning alghoritms
- Publication
- > Land cover classification for the Norwegian study area





Thank you!

Contact: adam.wasniewski@igik.edu.pl

'The research leading to these results has received funding from the Norway Grants 2014-2021 via the Polish National Center for Research and Development [grant no: NOR/POLNOR/InCoNaDa/0050/2019-00]'